



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,361	01/25/2002	Yu-Wen Chen	U 013851-0	8039
140	7590	10/16/2007		
LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023			EXAMINER TRINH, MINH N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/056,361

Applicant(s)

CHEN ET AL.

Examiner

Minh Trinh

Art Unit

3729

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/13/07 has been entered.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (APA, Figs. 1-2 and the discussion in pages 1-3, under the "Related Art" in view of TW 451437.

As applied to claim 1, APA discloses a carrier 1 for used in manufacturing a semiconductor encapsulant package (see Figs. 1-2), which comprises: at least one receiving part 12 for used in receiving the semiconductor encapsulant package; and a plurality of positioning pins 13 protruding upwards from an edge of the receiving part for used in positioning the semiconductor encapsulant package on the carrier 1, APA is in silent about the obtuse angle  $\theta$  is being between the positioning pin and the receiving part. The TW 451437 discloses that (see Figs A-D) depicts the above feature that associates with an obtuse angle  $\theta$  between the positioning pin and the receiving part. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the TW 451437 's teaching as described above onto the APA invention in order to facilitate the fabrication process that including positioning, installation and/or mounting process.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to choose any desired angle between the pin and the receiving part since applicant has not disclosed that the claimed of an obtuse angle between the pin and the receiving part is not a critical feature of the invention or would solve any stated problem or be useful for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the angular configurations as shown in Fig. 2 of the APA. Furthermore, it is inherent to use positioning pins with the similar configuration as described in details above for

Art Unit: 3729

positioning and guiding the receiving part into a desired mounting position in an effectively manner.

Limitation of claim 2, it is known to the art that a fiction force and a gliding force would exist during the engaging process when the pin receiving and positioning of the semiconductor device. And the force toward the pin is being greater than the gliding force, etc. Note: because the prior art i.e., APA discloses every structure limitations of the present application, therefore it is capable of performing the function limitation including where a friction force and gliding force during the engaging process when the pin receiving and positioning of the semiconductor device as described above.

As applied to claims 3-5, and 8-12, regarding the obtuse angle as recited in the above claims. The TW 451437 discloses that (see Figs A-D) depicts the above feature that associates with an obtuse angle  $\theta$  within range set forth in the above claims. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the TW 451437 's teaching as described above onto the APA invention in order to facilitate the fabrication process that including positioning, installation and/or mounting process.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to choose any desired angle between the pin and the receiving part since applicant has not disclosed that the claimed of an obtuse angle between the pin and the receiving part is not a critical feature of the invention or would solve any stated problem or be useful for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with teachings as shown in Figs. 1-2 of the APA. Note that it is also inherently to form an

Art Unit: 3729

obtuse angle between the pin and the receiving part, i.e., in fact US 5,288, 698 discloses this concept (see Fig, 5 of the patent shows the pin 107 being associated with the receiving part 108 at an angle greater than 90°).

As applied to claim 6-7, the TW 451437, as shown in Fig. A-D that the positioning pin facing the semiconductor encapsulate package is slant and a second opposite surface is being vertical.

Limitations of claims 8-12 are also satisfied as discussed above.

### ***Prior Art References***

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Prior art references are cited for their teaching of method of manufacturing semiconductor packages devices.

### ***Conclusion***

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3729

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mt

  
MINH TRINH  
PRIMARY EXAMINER